S. Geller and M. D. Lind

Science Center, North American Rockwell Corporation Thousand Oaks, California

ABSTRACT

Several quenchable pressureinduced phases involving the chalcogens have been found. Structural investigations indicate that all contain helical molecules. In at least three of these, Sulfur II, $S_{0.56}Se_{0.44}$ and Te_7S_{10} , the helices are arranged in a two-dimensional nearly hexagonal array, with some relative shifts along the helix axis and relative rotations to insure optimum packing. Thus far, the most detailed structural work has been done on fibrous sulfur (II) and on $S_{0.56}Se_{0.44}$.

INTRODUCTION

In the past three or four years, we have given some attention to the effect of high pressure on the chalcogens and intimate mixtures or solid solutions thereof.¹⁻⁴ As a result, several pressure-induced phases which can be retained for long periods of time at atmospheric pressure have been obtained. Crystallographic investigations support the conclusion that the phases we have found all have helical molecules involving only two-coordination as would be expected for sulfur and selenium, but perhaps not for tellurium. In the case of tellurium itself two pressure-induced phases, not quenchable, have been found by others (see Ref. 5 for appropriate references). One of these, which exists at pressures greater than 70 kb, has the β -polonium structure;⁵ the structure of the other is still unknown.⁵

SULFUR

There are at least three metastably retainable pressureinduced phases of sulfur obtainable¹ at pressures below about 30 kb. Our technique for obtaining these was first to melt the sulfur under pressure, then to anneal it for some time at a given temperature below the melting point, then to quench it. At first it was believed that melting was required initially to obtain complete conversion in reasonable time. However, in the case of the

fibrous form at least, Sclar et al.⁶ had found that the transformation occurred without initial melting. To understand the formation of Te_7S_{10} (see below) this seemed to be required. Thus we carried out an experiment³ on sulfur which confirmed the Sclar et al.⁶ result.

In the structural work,⁴ we have given most attention to the fibrous phase, mainly because it was the most tractable. Our experiments gave us the best crystals of this phase (II) although they were not truly single crystals. We obtained crystals of the lamellar phase (I), but the twinning is quite complicated. We could not seem to obtain crystals of the phase we have labeled III.

The paper⁴ on the structural investigation of the fibrous phase is now in press. The pressureinduced phase II appears to be identical with *y*-sulfur first unequivocally identified by Prins and coworkers, although not first obtained by them.⁸ Prins and coworkers 7,9,10 obtained the ψ sulfur by stretching plastic sulfur, washing it with CSo, and annealing it at 80°C for 40 hr. The identification was mainly by a fiber xray diffraction pattern. As shown by us1,4,11 they did not find the correct unit cell although they did find the correct fiber axis repeat

distance.

Most important, however, Prins et al were the first to propose the correct structure of the sulfur molecule, and while the x-ray data from the "single" crystals of the pressure-induced fibrous sulfur allowed prediction that the structure must contain both right- and lefthanded helical molecules, 1 Prins and Tuinstra¹⁰ made this proposal earlier from geometric considerations. However, a subsequent paper¹² by Tuinstra on ψ -sulfur appears to be at least partially incomprehensible. Further, some comments made by Tuinstra¹³ regarding the unit cell determination appear to have no merit.

The crystals of the pressureinduced fibrous phase have apparent diffraction symmetry mmm. They also clearly show in the diffraction photographs a pseudohexagonal rotation twinning¹ and in the course of the analysis, correction of intensities for overlap of some of the reflections was made. However, we soon found that to account for the intensities and the diffraction symmetry there must be a further much more subtle twinning present. In fact, the crystals most probably belong to space group P2, the mmm symmetry resulting from a finegrained mirror twinning.

The pseudoorthorhombic-cell

has lattice constants a = 13.8 Å (fiber axis), b = 32.4, c = 9.25 Å. The true primitive monoclinic cell has axes a = 17.6, b = 9.25, c =13.8 Å, $\beta = 113^{\circ}$. The relation between the two cells is shown in Fig. 1. The mmm symmetry is caused by pseudoorthorhombic (100) or (010) twinning of enantiomorphs.

The nature of the structure causes the absence of large classes of reflections, which are not space group absences. On the pseudoorthorhombic basis, reflections with $h = 0,3,6,8,9, \ell$ odd and h = 1,2,4,7,10, ℓ even were absent. Also no reflection hOO was observed to h =20. In the CuK α sphere of reflection a total of 429 reflections was observed.

We built a model based on our lattice constants and having threedimensional order but otherwise having an arrangement similar to that proposed by Prins and Tuinstra. The model incorporated the prior proposal of Prins et al that the sulfur helix has a 13.8 A period containing ten atoms in three turns of the helix. The model showed that, at least ideally, the space group of the fibrous modification is P2. The arrangement takes advantage of the twofold axes of the ¹⁰S₃ helices; twofold axes, intersecting the helix at right angles, pass through the center of

each atom and through points halfway between each pair of atoms (see Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. Relationship between primitive monoclinic (M) and C-face centered orthorhombic (0) unit cells.



Fig. 2. Idealized sulfur helices.

83



Fig. 3. Projection of the sulfur (II) structure along the fiber axis.

The arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 3. A description based on the pseudo-orthorhombic C-face centered unit cell rather than the primitive cell was used in the structure analysis to facilitate treatment of the twinning. In this description the coordination of the equivalent positions of space group P2 are x, y, z; \bar{x} , \bar{y} , z; $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y_{,z}$; and $\frac{1}{2} - x_{,z} = y_{,z}$. These may be used to derive the remainder of the unit cell not shown in Fig. 3. In the figure, the numbering of the atoms in each helix begins with the atom nearest to x = 0. The rows of helices parallel to c have the same hand but in successive rows in the b direction,

the hand alternates. Helices I, II, V, and VI are shown as righthanded and helices III and IV as left-handed; these are reversed in the enantiomorph. Eight of the 160 sulfur atoms per unit cell are on twofold axes; these are atoms 1 and 6 of helix I, atoms 3 and 8 of helix VI, and their four equivalents. The remainder of the atoms are in general positions. There are 42 atoms in the asymmetric unit. The structure has 117 independently variable positional parameters and 244 independently variable anisotropic thermal parameters.

Needless to say the total number of observable data. 429. was inadequate for refinement of the total number of parameters, 361 (excluding scale factors). The number of positional parameters was decreased to twelve by assuming the sulfur molecules to be ideal 10_{S_2} helices. An overall isotropic temperature factor and a scale factor were adjusted separately. Attempts at refinement of the twelve positional parameters indicated strong correlations between certain pairs and produced large oscillatory parameter changes.¹⁴ When the correlated parameters were deliberately specialized or coupled, reducing the number of variables to

five, convergence was attained.

The agreement of calculated with observed structure amplitudes shows that the results are at least approximately correct. For the 429 observable data, the discrepancy factor is 0.16. Of the 436 data (not included among those systematically absent) below threshold, 388 have $|F_{calc}|$ below the minimum observable | Fobs | and 48 have Fcalc | greater than threshold values, in most cases, only slightly greater. For all the reflections systematically absent, the | F calc | was less than the minimum observable value. This overall agreement is satisfactory considering the nature of the crystals and the necessary idealization of the structure.

The structure of the helical molecule was given only one variable, namely, the radius, r, in the least-squares analysis. Because the final value of r, 0.95 Å, is very near that given by Prins et al.⁷ the structure of the molecule remains very much like the one they described: The S-S distance is 2.07 Å and the S-S-S bond angle, 106° ; the shortest non-bonded S-S distance is 3.30 Å and the dihedral angle $S_1S_2S_3 - S_2S_3S_4$ is 95°.

None of the large number of intermolecular distances (Fig. 3) • is significantly shorter than 3.37 Å, the shortest intermolecular distance previously reported for sulfur.

We have indicated earlier that the pressure-induced fibrous modification of sulfur is identical with ψ -sulfur. The rotation photograph about the fiber axis of a crystal of the pressure-induced phase exactly matches that of a fiber pattern of ψ -sulfur. The literature¹² contains erroneous conclusions regarding the indexing of this pattern. For completeness, we give here (Table 1) our indexing based on the pseudoorthorhombic cell and confirm that the data obtained by Tuinstra from the fiber pattern of ψ -sulfur agree with those from the pressure-induced phase. There is greater resolution in our data, however. (We hope to have a somewhat more detailed discussion of this indexing published elsewhere).

The crystals of the fibrous phase of sulfur have remained intact (at atmospheric pressure and room temperature), that is, with no apparent transformation to any other phase, for a period of approximately $3\frac{1}{2}$ years.

With respect to phase (I), we have proposed that it is probable that the sulfur helical molecules lie in planes perpendicular to a 32.4 Å repeat distance as in the fibrous phase, but because it is lamellar, the helices are skew to each other in alternating planes. Phase I has

Fuinstra	Present Work		Tuinstra	Tuinstra Present Work			Tuinstra Present Work				
Q ₀	Q.	Q.C	<u>h k l</u>	Q ₀	Q.	Q _C	<u>h k l</u>	Q ₀	Q ₀	Q _C	<u>h k l</u>
473	478	467	002	*	2625	2634	2,12,3	2700	3774	3759	4,14,3
612	612	610	080	*	3253	3284	245	3192	3826	3800	425
013	013	620	042	*	2712	3701	2,16,3	1.002	4036	4043	4,18,1
1810	1817	1839	0,12,2		3173	3742	285	4093	4110	4105	465
1042	1041	1870	004	*	4092	4137	2,20,1	4751	4719	4715	4,10,5
2050	2046	2022	044	*	4451	4501	2,12,5	*	5639	5629	4,14,5
2431	2422	2439	0,16,0	*	5582	5570	2,16,5	*	6472	6503	4,22,3
2470	2475	2480	084	*	5839	5814	2,24,1	*	6957	6910	467
2868	2894	2906	0,16,2	*	6099	6089	247				
3244	3234	3242	0,12,4	*	6610	6547	287	2250	2230	2233	660
hofe	1071	4208	006					2379	2372	2396	622
4205	4211	4278	0,20,2	016	050	939	370	2707	2706	2701	662
1.216	hale	4309	0,16,4	940	950	950	312	2800	2823	2843	6,10,0
4310	4342	4360	046	*	1032	1026	332	3284	3300	3311	6,10,2
4845	4843	4817	086	1165	1160	1178	352	3797	3807	3798	624
5449	5455	5487	0,24,0	1222	1224	1244	390	1.170	4089	4103	664
F (1 9	5610	5579	0,12,6	1414	1414	1407	372	4112	4202	4225	6,14,2
2010	2013	5680	0,20,4	1691	1706	1712	392	*	4762	4712	6,10,4
*	6631	6646	0,16,6	2104	2107	2093	3,11,2	*	4923	4977	6,18,0
					2377	2352	314	*	5484	5444	6,18,2
962	967	941	191		2431	2428	334	*	5640	5628	6,14,4
1146	1133	1113	113	2500	2532	2550	3,13,2	*	6171	6136	626
1300	1318	1322	1,11,1		0578	2581	354	*	6521	6501	6,22,0
1361	1380	1342	153		2310	2616	3,15,0	*	6952	6968	6,22,2
1933	1934	1876	193	2110	2000	3083	3,15,2	*	7070	7050	6,10,6
2250	2251	2257	1,11,3	5110	2099	3114	394				
2978	2948	2922	1,17,1	*	3171	3226	3,17,0	2732	**	2699	711
3113	3086	3060	135	3517	3489	3495	3,11,4	2808	**	2776	731
2255	2022	3212	155	*	5547	5512	3,23,0	2950	**	2928	751
52))	5255	3248	1,15,3	*	6295	6290	3,13,6	3470	**	3461	791
3470	3454	3441	175	*	7016	7885	3,27,2	3712	**	3710	733
3560	3548	3608	1,19,1		1910	7962	318	2867	**	3843	7,11,1
3790	3750	3746	195	*	8263	8296	3,25,4	2001		3863	753
3860	3875	3857	1,17,3	*	8856	8881	3,21,6	4136	**	4092	773
5130	5135	5118	1,15,5	*	9270	9287	3,27,4	4337	**	4397	793
5000	-0-0	5789	117								
5900	2020	5865	137	1318	1316	1300	461	5414	**	5406	10,2,1
	6006	6123	1,25,1	1010	1026	1910	4,10,1	5550	**	5520	10,4,1
6200	0096	6144	1,23,3	1942	1930	1930	423	6017	**	5978	10,8,1
	6321	6246	177	2250	2253	2235	463				
	7005	6932	1,11,7	0966	0956	2824	4,14,1				
*	1005	7058	1.25.3	2000	2050	2844	4.10.3				

Table 1. Indexing of Rotation Photograph of Y-Sulfur

* Not reported by Tuinstra.

** Not measured in present work.

also been prepared earlier; it seems that the powder lines reported for "white sulfur" designated ω by Das,¹⁵ who prepared it by hydrolysis of S_2Cl_2 (and further physical treatment), agree in spacing and relative intensity with the seven strongest lines of the powder photograph of our phase I (see Table 2 of Ref. 1).

SULFUR-SELENIUM

The pressure-induced sulfurselenium phase was made² at 20 kb after holding at 550°C for 10 min and annealing for 56 hr at 280°C. The phase has a solid solution range, the extent of which we have not determined. The crystals are red-brown. The particular composition of the crystal on which we have done structural studies is close to $S_{0.56}Se_{0.44}$. We write the formula in this manner to indicate that although this phase is not isostructural with any sulfur or any selenium phase, it is nevertheless a solid solution phase, not a compound and not, as in the case of Te7S10 (see below), a cocrystallization of atomically pure dissimilar helical molecules.

Weissenberg and Buerger precession camera photographs were taken of a crystal of the new phase. The diffraction symmetry is 6/m; 00% reflections are present only when l = 6n. The lattice constants of the crystal studied are a = 7.85 ± 0.01, c = 4.62 ± 0.01 Å. Five sulfur and four selenium atoms per unit cell give a calculated density equal to the measured value, 3.20g cm⁻³. The relation of the unit cell to that of hexagonal selenium indicated the probable presence of helical molecules with an average of three atoms per turn.

Excluding disorder, hexagonal space groups giving diffraction symmetry 6/m cannot satisfy the requirements for this structure. Thus it appears that the 6/m may be only an apparent diffraction symmetry; the more probable diffraction symmetry is 3. When crystals with this symmetry are 60° rotation-twinned, they give the apparent symmetry observed. This is analogous to the case of selenium itself¹⁶ in which the twinning of crystals with diffraction symmetry 3m leads to apparent symmetry 6/mmm. Thus the most probable space groups to which the fibrous S_{0.56}Se_{0.44} belongs are P31 or P32.

The asymmetric unit of the structure contains three atoms. With one z-coordinate fixed to define the origin, there are a total of eight positional parameters and eighteen anisotropic thermal parameters. The trial values of the positional parameters were deter-

mined from a model of the structure. The structure is illustrated in Figure 4.



Fig. 4. Projection of S_{0.56} Se_{0.44} structure along the c-axis.

Visually estimated data from hkO, hkl, hk2, and hk3 Weissenberg photographs (CuKa radiation) were used for the least-squares refinement of the structural parameters. The thermal parameters were kept isotropic at first and were allowed to be anisotropic in the later stages of the refinement procedure. The scattering factors (corrected for the real part of the dispersion) used were a weighted average corresponding to an atom made up of 5/9 S and 4/9 Se. The scattering factor multipliers for the three independent atoms were varied in the leastsquares analysis to allow for nonuniformity in their compositions.

The multipliers, thermal parameters, and scale factors were not allowed to vary simultaneously.

The refinement procedure was continued until all parameter changes were negligibly small and the discrepancy factor had decreased to 0.10. At this time, the values of the multipliers indicate that the compositions of the three independent helical molecules are not equal. We now intend to determine an average scattering factor for each independent atom based on the final values of the multipliers and to carry out additional least-squares iterations using these scattering factors.

About two months after we had finished taking the first photographs involving perhaps a total exposure of less than 50 hr (mostly to CuKa radiation), we found that the crystal had begun to change: it appeared to be exsolving a phase isostructural with the pressure-induced fibrous sulfur. This was ascertained from an oscillation photograph about the c-axis of the S 0.56 Se 0.44 crystal to which the fiber-axis of the sulfur II phase was exactly parallel. Two and one-half years later, an oscillation photograph still showed the presence of the hexagonal sulfurselenium phase but a lot more of the fibrous sulfur type phase. Strangely enough, this decomposition did not take place in the original specimen

which had not been irradiated at all. The powder photograph recently taken of the pressure-induced sulfurselenium phase made 2-1/2 years ago is the same as that taken 2-1/2 years ago, that is, none of the fibrous sulfur (II) type phase is present. This implies that the irradiation began the decomposition of the crystal used to obtain the data for the structure determination.

SULFUR-TELLURIUM

Prior to the work³ on sulfurtellurium that was done in our laboratory, no phase simultaneously involving these two elements was known. Inasmuch as the information on the new phase has already been published, we shall only summarize the results here.

The new phase is found only by reaction in the solid state; melting causes segregation of sulfur and tellurium. The phase has the formula Te_7S_{10} and was prepared by pressurizing an intimate mixture of appropriate proportions of Te and S at 40 kb and 400-412°C for 4 to 5 days. Crystal chemical and geometric considerations led to the deduction of the correct formula of the phase and to a proposed atomic arrangement in it.

At first, high pressure experiments with various proportions of Te and S led to the conclusion

that the chemical formula of the phase was very close to ${\rm Te}_3{\rm S}_4$ which could not be a valency compound. The x-ray powder diffraction lines occurring in the powder patterns of all specimens established that there was no solid solution range in the phase. The other phases which appeared were hexagonal Te on the Te rich side and fibrous sulfur (II) on the sulfur rich side. It turns out that a seven atom increment of the Te helix is, within experimental error, the same length as a 10 atom increment of the sulfur helix (Fig. 5) and the van der Waals "cylinders" (Fig. 6) of the two have very nearly the same radius. Thus the lattice constants of a pseudoorthorhombic cell could be deduced for this phase. Because the Te helix has three atoms per turn, the repeat distance along the helix axis must be three times that of the seven atom increment of Te helix. The pseudoorthorhombic cell has lattice constants a = 41.49, b = 31.64, c = 9.24 A. (The monoclinic cell has a = 41.49, b = 9.24, c = 26.09 A, $\beta = 142.7^{\circ}$) An idealized packing scheme of the proposed sulfur and tellurium helices is shown in Fig. 6.

The pseudoorthorhombic cell contains 240 S and 168 Te atoms leading to an x-ray density of 3.99 g/cm^3 as compared with a

89



Left Handed Te helix Right Handed S helix

Fig. 5. The relation of the sizes of a seven-atom increment of Te helix to a ten-atom increment of S helix.

measured density of 4.02 g/cm³. Further the lattice constants given above allowed a completely satisfactory indexing of the powder pattern.

TERNARY PHASES

Preliminary experiments have revealed the existence of at least three pressure-induced ternary phases.

REFERENCES

1. S. Geller, Science 152, 644



Fig. 6. Idealized packing of S and Te helices. The circles, drawn to scale, represent projections of the van der Waals "cylinders" circumscribing the helices.

(1966).

- S. Geller and M. D. Lind, Science <u>155</u>, 79 (1967).
- S. Geller, Science <u>161</u>, 290 (1968).
- M. D. Lind and S. Geller, J. Chem. Phys. in press.
- J. C. Jamieson and D. B. McWhan, J. Chem. Phys. <u>43</u>, 1149 (1965).
- 6. C. B. Sclar, L. C. Carrison,
 W. B. Gager and O. M. Stewart,
 J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>27</u>, 1339 (1966).
- J. A. Prins, J. Schenk and L. H. J. Wachters, Physica <u>23</u>, 746 (1957).

 J. J. Trillat and H. Forestier, Bull. Soc. Chem. France <u>51</u>, 248 (1932); K. H. Meyer and Y. Go, Helv. Chim. Acta <u>17</u>, 1081 (1934).

. .

- J. A. Prins, J. Schenk and A. M. Hospel, Physica <u>22</u>, 770 (1956).
- 10. J. A. Prins and F. Tuinstra, Physica <u>29</u>, 328 and 884 (1963).
- 11. S. Geller and M. D. Lind, submitted for publication.

- 12. F. Tuinstra, Acta Cryst. <u>20</u>, 341 (1966).
- 13. F. Tuinstra, Physica, <u>34</u>, 113
 (1967).
- 14. S. Geller, Acta Cryst. <u>14</u>, 1026 (1961).
- 15. S. R. Das, Indian J. Phys. <u>12</u>, 163 (1938).
- 16. R. E. Marsh, L. Pauling, and J. D. McCullough, Acta Cryst. <u>6</u>, 71 (1953).